Impact of Fever on Outcome in Patients With Stroke and Neurologic Injury A Comprehensive Meta-Analysis

David M. Greer, MD, MA; Susan E. Funk, MBA; Nancy L. Reaven, MA; Myrsini Ouzounelli, MD; Gwen C. Uman, RN, PhD

- *Background and Purpose*—Many studies associate fever with poor outcome in patients with neurological injury, but this relationship is blurred by divergence in populations and outcome measures. We sought to incorporate all recent scholarship addressing fever in brain-injured patients into a comprehensive meta-analysis to evaluate disparate clinical findings.
- *Methods*—We conducted a Medline search for articles since January 1, 1995 (in English with abstracts, in humans) and hand searches of references in bibliographies and review articles. Search terms covered stroke, neurological injury, thermoregulation, fever, and cooling. A total of 1139 citations were identified; we retained 39 studies with 67 tested hypotheses contrasting outcomes of fever/higher body temperature and normothermia/lower body temperature in patients with neurological injury covering 14 431 subjects. A separate meta-analysis was performed for each of 7 outcome measures. Significance was evaluated with Zc developed from probability values or t values. Correlational effect size, $r_{(es)}$, was calculated for each study and used to derive Cohen's d unbiased combined effect size and relative risk.
- *Results*—Fever or higher body temperature was significantly associated with worse outcome in every measure studied. Relative risk of worse outcome with fever was: mortality, 1.5; Glasgow Outcome Scale, 1.3; Barthel Index, 1.9; modified Rankin Scale, 2.2; Canadian Stroke Scale, 1.4; intensive care length of stay, 2.8; and hospital length of stay, 3.2.

Conclusions—In the pooled analyses covering 14 431 patients with stroke and other brain injuries, fever is consistently associated with worse outcomes across multiple outcome measures. **(***Stroke***. 2008;39:000-000.)**

Key Words: fever **n** meta-analysis **n** outcome **n** stroke **n** traumatic brain injury

Fever is a common condition in patients with stroke and other brain injuries. Hence, it other brain injuries. Hyperthermia appears to correlate with poor outcome in these patients, although a direct causative link has not been established. The impact of fever on patients in a neurocritical care unit has been evaluated; after controlling for severity of illness, diagnosis, age, and complications, fever was found to be strongly associated with an increased length of intensive care unit (ICU) and hospital stay as well as a higher mortality rate and worse overall outcome.1 These findings are consistent with the meta-analysis by Hajat and colleagues focused on stroke mortality and generalized morbidity.2 In this study, we expand Hajat's analysis to assess the significance of the relationship between fever and outcome across the full range of neurological injury, including stroke, and its magnitude in distinct measures of clinical, functional, and economic outcome.

Excellent biological arguments exist for a direct impact of fever on neurological outcome after brain injury. On a local

level, fever results in the following: (1) elevated levels of excitatory amino acids (eg, glutamate and dopamine), free radicals, lactic acid, and pyruvate3; (2) increased ischemic depolarizations; (3) blood– brain barrier breakdown; (4) impaired enzymatic function; and (5) reduced cytoskeletal stability. Globally, these events lead to both cerebral edema, potentially reducing cerebral perfusion pressure, and larger volumes of ischemic injury.4,5 The inciting etiology of the brain injury appears almost immaterial when considering the aforementioned effects, because ischemic stroke, 6–8 subarachnoid hemorrhage,9,10 intracerebral hemorrhage,11,12 traumatic brain injury,13 and global ischemic injury from cardiac $arrest¹⁴$ have all been noted to be impacted by fever in these ways.

There is a large body of research investigating various aspects of the relationship between hyperthermia— compared with normothermia—and outcome in patients with ischemic,

© 2008 American Heart Association, Inc.

Received March 27, 2008; accepted April 11, 2008.

From the Department of Neurology (D.M.G.), Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Mass; Strategic Health Resources (S.E.F., N.L.R., M.O.), La Canada, Calif; and Vital Research, LLC (G.C.U.), Los Angeles, Calif.

Correspondence to David M. Greer, MD, MA, Department of Neurology, ACC 835, Massachusetts General Hospital, 55 Fruit Street, Boston, MA 02114. E-mail dgreer@partners.org

References cited in Supplemental Appendix I

hemorrhagic, and traumatic brain injuries. The studies are predominantly observational and retrospective, test a multitude of hypotheses, and involve a large number of intervening variables, a host of different temperatures indicative of fever, different times of onset and durations of fever, and a multiplicity of measures used to ascertain outcome. Taken singly, they provide limited and sometimes uncertain guidance. The impact of fever is significant in many studies, although some studies have found no significance. Yet despite much variance, the literature is characterized by the consistent suggestion that fever, when it is significant, contributes to problems in patients with all types of neurological injury. A meta-analysis, although it does not substitute for a randomized, controlled trial, is an approach that is well suited in this circumstance.15 It provides a way to pull together these single studies often examining narrowly drawn aspects of this association in highly individual ways to systematically test larger questions: does fever contribute to worse outcomes across the full spectrum of brain-injured patients? Is the effect of fever significant and large enough to be clinically important in all commonly measured aspects of patient outcome?

Materials and Methods

A Medline search for articles published since January 1, 1995, for articles (in English with abstracts, in humans) pertaining to fever in neurological patients was completed in March 2006 using the following search terms: [(head OR brain OR cerebral OR neuro OR cranial OR subdural OR epidural OR arachnoid) AND (fever OR temperature OR hyperthermia OR pyrexia) AND (tumor OR trauma OR injury OR damage OR ischemia OR hemorrhage OR tbi OR lesion OR infarct OR coma OR edema OR hematoma OR contusion OR icp OR sah OR ich OR sdh OR edh) AND (induced hypothermia OR therapeutic hypothermia OR hypothermic therapy OR thermoregulation OR thermoregulatory OR temperature control OR heat exchange OR cooling OR prognosis OR prognostic OR predictor OR predict OR outcome OR incidence)]. We included terms pertaining to hypothermia to ensure identification of all studies containing data on relevant body temperature ranges, even if a study's overt topic was not relevant to our analyses. The quality standard for inclusion was a complete clinical study published in a Medline-abstracted journal. A total of 1139 citations was identified. The reference lists in 35 systematic reviews identified among the original 1139 citations were hand-searched for additional studies of relevance to these analyses as were the bibliographies of all selected studies. Case studies, mechanistic studies of cooling technologies, reviews, comments, and studies on hypothermia in patients with cardiac arrest or lacking data on fever were eliminated. In all, 45 studies, selected

Table 1. Summary of Articles Analyzed by Nature of Neurologic Injuries Studied

Full citations are provided in Supplemental Appendix I.

*Of 127 total patients, 82 patients with TBI were evaluated; 45 hypoxic brain injuries, mostly in very young children, were excluded as noncomparable. †Division by sex was "similar."

without regard to the specific outcome measure(s) used, were identified as potentially appropriate (citations in Supplemental Table I, available online at http://stroke.ahajournals.org). Three studies involving cooling technologies were retained because they provided comparative data on afebrile patients and patients whose body temperatures were consistent with definitions of fever used in other studies (>37.2 °C, Mayer et al; $\geq 38C$ °, Qui et al; >38 °C, Yamamoto et al). Our minimum requirement for pooling was a least 3 studies using the same outcome measure. Five studies were eliminated because the minimum requirement for pooling was not met, and one study was withdrawn because it lacked sufficient data to relate a single source of body temperature to outcome. Thirty-nine studies (87%) were ultimately included in our study as detailed in the flow sheet (Figure 1). Twenty-two studies (56%) were prospective. Two studies met criteria for evidence Grade 1b (individual randomized, controlled trial with a narrow confidence interval, 35 studies were Grade 2b (individual cohort study/low-quality randomized, controlled trial), and 2 studies were graded 4 (case-series/poor-quality cohort or case– control), as identified in Table 1.16 Final selection of articles was made independently by 3 of the investigators. Data were extracted by a single investigator and reviewed independently by 2 others. All disagreements were resolved by consensus.

A separate meta-analysis was performed for each outcome measure in which our 3-study minimum was met. Only one meta-analysis contained less than 5 studies. Where a study had 2 hypotheses relevant to a given outcome measure, both were evaluated. In the

Outcome Measure	No. of Articles/ Hypotheses*	Total N	Zct	Effect Size‡	RR	Fever/Higher Body Temperature Associated Significantly With
Mortality	24/24	10 460	6.31	0.46	1.5	Death
GOS	9/11	1625	3.66	0.26	1.3	Neurological deficit/death
BI	8/10	2841	4.85	0.65	1.9	More dependence
mRS	5/5	1423	-27.6	0.89	2.2	Lower functioning
CSS	5/8	910	6.09	0.35	1.4	Greater severity
ICU LOS	6/6	5418	48.48	1.66	2.8	Longer ICU stay
Hospital LOS	3/3	4468	39.55	. 53	3.2	Longer hospital stay

Table 2. Summary of Meta-Analysis Findings: Effect Size, Association, and RR

*Sum does not equal total articles because some articles evaluated more than one outcome measure.

†Zc is Winer's Zc for the Rankin scale and the 2 LOS measures, Stouffer's Zc for all others. For the mRS, Winer's Zc is negative because mRS scores increase as outcomes worsen, whereas the opposite occurs with the other ordinal scales.

‡Cohen's d corrected for sample size.

mortality meta-analysis, in which some studies had 3 or 4 hypotheses assessing small variations, the single hypothesis with the most distant mortality point and the least restrictive hyperthermia timing was evaluated. In all, we evaluated 67 hypotheses from 39 studies addressing the following widely used measures of clinical, functional, and economic outcome: mortality, Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS), Barthel Index (BI), modified Rankin Scale (mRS), Canadian Stroke Scale (CSS), ICU length of stay (LOS), and hospital LOS. Sample sizes ranged from 38 to 4295, as shown in Table 1, with a total of 14 431 patients. The clinical populations of these studies overlapped. To minimize selection bias, we included studies that combined ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke and studies of neurological ICU populations that also included patients with traumatic brain injury (TBI) as well as studies examining fever in targeted stroke and TBI populations.

Statistical Analyses

Our intention to include as many studies as possible, despite great variation in individual study statistics, necessitated a flexible statistical approach. For each outcome measure, a combined test (Zc) was developed from probability values (Stouffer's approach) or t values (Winer's approach; whichever was available in the source study) and was used to determine whether there was a significant difference between the fever and nonfever groups. We calculated individual effect size $r_{(es)}$ for each study using Rosenthal's correlational approach¹⁷ and used it to derive unbiased Cohen's d from which combined effect size was calculated for each pooled analysis. Combining effect sizes is preferable to combining probabilities from separate studies because it unambiguously adjusts for different sample sizes in the combined analysis.¹⁸

In addition, we derived more clinically relevant relative risk (RR) statistics for each study and for each meta-analysis. For each study, available statistics such as odds ratios, probability values, and t values were used to calculate the proportion of each group (febrile/ afebrile) having a good or bad outcome, and the results were presented in a Binomial Effect-Size Display table from which relative risk for each meta-analysis was derived. Rosenthal and Rubin's Binomial Effect-Size Display approach is consistent with the dichotomized outcome reporting used in many of the studies, and it avoided excluding studies solely on the basis of statistical presentation. CIs for RR are not shown because the Binomial Effect-Size Display method for calculating RR, necessitated by the available statistics, produces a CI involving a fixed lower bound that is not directly comparable to CI around an RR calculated with more common approaches. (See Supplemental Table II, available online at http://stroke.ahajournals.org, for counter effect size provided as an alternative approach to CI around correlational effect size.) Finally, homogeneity of effect size was assessed with a χ^2 test using Cohen's d.

Description of Data Variability

Multivariate studies included a wide array of moderating or mediating variables in addition to body temperature. Studied factors included patient demographics, comorbidities, severity indicators, clinical indicators, and timing of fever onset. Covariates and results for each tested hypothesis are shown in Supplemental Table II.

As noted previously, the included articles used a range of statistical methods depending on the variables studied and on how the body temperature variable was structured. Most studies examined body temperature as a dichotomized (eg, febrile versus afebrile) or categorical (level of fever) variable, whereas some treated body temperature as a continuous variable. Thus, we refer to "fever/higher body temperature" in reporting findings across these approaches. Of studies defining fever, 13 studies used 37.5°C as the cutoff point; values from other studies ranged from 37.0 (tympanic) to 39.0°C (core), listed in Supplemental Table II.

Studies that provided sufficient detail for GOS or mRS to permit separate assessment of mortality were included in the mortality meta-analysis even if mortality was not overtly discussed in the original study. Whenever a study in the meta-analysis included a pediatric population, the meta-analysis was conducted with and without the pediatric study. In no case did inclusion of a pediatric study make a statistically significant difference in the results.

Results

Collectively, the meta-analyses presented a consistent result. In each of the 7 outcome measures evaluated, the meta-analysis indicated that fever/higher body temperature was significantly associated with worse outcome as indicated by higher mortality rates, greater disability, more dependence, worse functional outcome, greater severity, and longer stays in the hospital and ICU. The size of the effect of fever/higher body temperature on outcome in each meta-analysis ranged from moderately small (0.26 for GOS) to large (over 0.8 for mRS, ICU LOS, and hospital LOS) per Cohen's interpretation,19 as shown in Table 2. The RR calculations for each meta-analysis (Table 2) showed results consistent with the effect size analysis. The probability of a poorer outcome among patients with hemorrhagic or ischemic stroke or traumatic brain injury who had fever/higher body temperatures ranged from 1.3 for GOS to 3.2 times greater for hospital LOS than the probability among such patients without fever or with lower body temperatures.

Figure 2 shows the meta-analyses RR results and the individual RR result for each component study grouped by outcome measure and the clinical subgroup that best catego-

Figure 2. Relative risk of worse outcome with fever/higher body temperature.

rizes the study's population. Studies involving ischemic stroke exclusively were more consistent in their RR results than were studies also including or focusing exclusively on hemorrhagic stroke or traumatic brain injury. Mortality and GOS show the tightest clustering of individual RR around the meta-analysis results, illustrating more consistency of the relationship between fever/higher body temperature and outcomes across clinical groupings for these 2 measures compared with some of the other outcome measures. However, the overall results show that the association of fever with poorer clinical outcomes cuts across all types of neurological injury and is observed in every outcome measure analyzed.

Heterogeneity

Heterogeneity of effect size, measured using Cohen's d, was insignificant in 3 meta-analyses (mortality, GOS, and CSS) and present in 4 (ICU LOS, hospital LOS, mRS, and BI). Statistical heterogeneity is reasonably common in meta-analyses using studies with divergent structures but always warrants further examination. In these meta-analyses, there are a variety of possible contributors to heterogeneity. In the LOS analyses (ICU and hospital LOS), some studies were testing the impact of fever on LOS, whereas others used fever as the dependent variable.20,21 Furthermore, a continuous variable such as LOS can produce larger effect sizes and therefore more potential variance than dichotomous variables or dichotomized ordinal scales. For studies examining the mRS, differences in the timing and duration of the body temperature reading(s) being assessed may have contributed to heterogeneity of effect size. In the BI meta-analysis, several studies investigated essentially the same hypothesis with different statistical methods (nonparametric tests of ordinal [Mann–Whitney *U* or Kruskal-Wallis] or nominal [Fisher exact test] data and/or logistic regression analysis). In addition, there was variation in cut scores for good and poor outcomes across studies as well as variation in timing of outcome measurement.

Despite heterogeneity, the relationship between fever and negative outcome was statistically significant and consistent in direction in all 7 meta-analyses.

Discussion

For each measure of clinical outcome, the studies singly and collectively demonstrate a clear link between fever and worse outcome. Among 67 hypotheses in 39 studies evaluated in these meta-analyses, the relationship between fever/higher body temperature and worse outcome was confirmed as significant in 53 hypotheses, found to be statistically insignificant in 13, and significantly rejected in one, as detailed in Supplemental Table II. Independent of the origin of the neurological injury (ischemic, hemorrhagic, or traumatic), fever was associated with negative outcomes. The meta-analyses confirm that the association between fever and negative outcomes extends across all dimensions of outcome for which studies were available to analyze. Although the size of the effect varies, the range of RR indicates that fever in patients with neurological injury is a condition of clinical importance.

Relative Risk by Outcome Measure

As shown in Table 2, the largest values for RR in the meta-analyses were found in the 2 LOS analyses. Of note, several LOS studies measured fever over the entire duration of the ICU stay so that patients with a longer stay had a greater chance of fever being identified. However, several studies measuring LOS found a significant association between outcome in patients with stroke and body temperature measured on admission, suggesting that the relationship between longer LOS and fever cannot be attributed solely to treatment effect.6,7,22–24 Although the magnitude of relative risk in ICU LOS and hospital LOS may be affected by studies with variable periods of measurement, and by the fact that LOS is a continuous variable, the direction of these findings is consistent with the other meta-analyses.

The smallest values for RR come from the GOS $(RR=1.3)$ and CSS ($RR=1.4$). These results are significant but not large. However, they do suggest that fever is associated with greater neurological dysfunction with neurological injury. The higher RR levels in conjunction with mortality and functional outcome measures provide confirmation that fever in neurological injury is a clinically important condition that needs to be definitively studied.

The question of whether fever actually causes worse outcomes or whether it is largely an effect of other causative factors, known or unknown, cannot be fully addressed in this type of analysis. However, the meta-analyses and component studies do contain useful insights on this point. Across the 39 studies we analyzed, including studies involving widely defined multivariate analyses (see Supplemental Table II), findings on most covariates are consistently insignificant or mixed. No other covariate comes close to reaching the consistency of significance found with fever/higher body temperature.

Effect Size, Timing, and Clinical Condition

Individual researchers' study designs as well as their findings reflect differences in the etiology of fever in varying types of neurological injury as well as the timing of fever measurements. In checking for possible sources of bias, we examined the distribution of the effect size of fever (irrespective of outcome measure) by the timing and/or duration of temperature measurement within these clinical groups. We found no observable trends large enough to warrant examination. We did, however, notice differences between clinical groups with regard to the timing of temperature measurement. Studies in our meta-analysis that evaluated broadly defined neurological populations with a mixture of TBI and stroke all elected to study fever throughout an ICU stay or extended time period. For the narrower clinical groups, 67% of the hypotheses in the hemorrhagic and all stroke studies evaluated results of temperatures taken on admission or within approximately the first 24 hours. For studies of ischemic stroke, 40% of the hypotheses focused on these early temperature measurements. In the TBI studies, only 20% of the studies focused on temperatures in the first 24 hours and none considered admission temperature alone. Differences in study design suggest that stroke researchers are more apt to focus on early fevers, whereas TBI researchers are interested in fever less immediately and for longer periods of time. These trends reflect the differing nature of the neurological injury; a majority of ischemic injury occurs within the first several hours, whereas in subarachnoid hemorrhage, for example, the period of brain injury that might be modified by body temperature may extend for several days. We believe that questions about when and for how long fever should be measured in various types of neurological injury is a fruitful area for future research.

Our study points to the timeliness and compelling justification for a major prospective study in neurologically injured patients to determine whether outcomes improve when fever is prevented or controlled. Findings of this meta-analysis suggest that such a study should include functional and economic outcome measures in addition to clinical ones and should be designed to yield guidance for practicing clinicians on the important questions of not only whether, but when and for how long, to maintain thermoregulation. It also remains to be seen what impact other variables of the fever may have, including fever severity, timing, and duration.

The following factors are limitations of this study: (1) there is a possible selection bias from the choice of published studies, in English; (2) 17 studies were not from prospective trials; (3) 4 of the 5 studies using the CSS were done by the same group of researchers; (4) studies used different definitions of fever and different methods of measuring temperature, introducing a potential measurement bias; (5) studies dichotomized the same outcome measure differently, but the extent to which these differences fully compensated for differences in population severity cannot be determined; (6) an insufficient number of randomized, controlled trials was available to permit pooling by study type; (7) not all studies

provided exact probability values; (8) probability values or coefficients were not always reported on insignificant results; (9) not all articles contained sufficient statistics for Stouffer's Zc, necessitating the use of a different combined measure of statistical significance for some analyses; and (10) heterogeneity of effect size was found in 4 of the 7 meta-analyses, and it was not possible to test for all root causes of heterogeneity.

Summary

In the most comprehensive analysis undertaken to date, incorporating 39 studies covering 14 431 patients with stroke and other brain injuries, fever/higher body temperature is consistently associated with worse outcome regardless of the outcome measure used. Fever was consistently associated with damaging effects, whether the neurological injury was ischemic, hemorrhagic, or traumatic in origin and whether the outcome being measured was clinical, functional, or economic. A major prospective study is clearly warranted to confirm whether aggressive efforts to prevent and control fever in neurologically injured patients will yield improvements in these outcomes.

Disclosures

S.E.F., N.L.R., M.O., and G.C.U. report financial support from Medivance, Inc under an agreement that the study be conducted independently to reduce funding bias. Accordingly, the study was designed, conducted, analyzed, interpreted, and written by investigators independent of Medivance and was not sent to agents of Medivance for prepublication review or approval.

References

- 1. Diringer MN, Reaven NL, Funk SE, Uman GC. Elevated body temperature independently contributes to increased length of stay in neurologic intensive care unit patients. *Crit Care Med*. 2004;32:1489 –1495.
- 2. Hajat C, Hajat S, Sharma P. Effects of poststroke pyrexia on stroke outcome: a meta-analysis of studies in patients. *Stroke*. 2000;31: $410 - 414.$
- 3. Stocchetti N, Protti A, Lattuada M, Magnoni S, Longhi L, Ghisoni L, Egidi M, Zanier ER. Impact of pyrexia on neurochemistry and cerebral oxygenation after acute brain injury. *J Neurol Neursurg Psychiatry*. 2005;76:1135–1139.
- 4. Morimoto T, Ginsberg MD, Dietrich WD, Zhao W. Hyperthermia enhances spectrin breakdown in transient focal cerebral ischemia. *Brain Res*. 1997;746:43–51.
- 5. Baena RC, Busto R, Dietrich WD, Globus MY, Ginsberg MD. Hyperthermia delayed by 24 hours aggravates neuronal damage in rat hippocampus following global ischemia. *Neurology*. 1997;48:768 –773.
- 6. Reith J, Jørgensen HS, Pedersen PM, Nakayama H, Raaschou HO, Jeppesen LL, Olsen TS. Body temperature in acute stroke: relation to

stroke severity, infarct size, mortality and outcome. *Lancet*. 1996;347: 422– 425.

- 7. Kammersgaard LP, Jørgensen HS, Rungby JA, Reith J, Nakayama H, Weber UJ, Houth J, Olsen TS. Admission body temperature predicts long-term mortality after acute stroke: the Copenhagen Stroke Study. *Stroke*. 2002;33:1759 –1762.
- 8. Boysen G, Christensen H. Stroke severity determines body temperature in acute stroke. *Stroke*. 2001;32:413– 417.
- 9. Oliveira-Filho J, Ezzeddine MA, Segal AZ, Buananno FS, Chang Y, Ogilvy CS, Rordorf G, Schwamm LH, Koroshetz WJ, McDonald CT. Fever in subarachnoid hemorrhage. Relationship to vasospasm and outcome. *Neurology*. 2001;56:1299 –1304.
- 10. Wartenberg KE, Schmidt JM, Claassen J, Temes RE, Frontera JA, Ostapkovich N, Parra A, Connolly ES, Mayer SA. Impact of medical complications on outcome after subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Crit Care Med*. 2006;34:617– 623.
- 11. Schwarz S, Häfner K, Aschoff A, Schwab S. Incidence and prognostic significance of fever following intracerebral hemorrhage. *Neurology*. 2000;54:354 –361.
- 12. Leira R, Dávalos A, Silva Y, Gil-Peralta A, Tejada J, Garcia M, Castillo J. Early neurologic deterioration in intracerebral hemorrhage: predictors and associated factors. *Neurology*. 2004;63:461– 467.
- 13. Stocchetti N, Rossi S, Zanier ER, Colombo A, Beretta L, Citerio G. Pyrexia in head-injured patients admitted to intensive care. *Intensive Care Med*. 2002;28:1555–1562.
- 14. Zeiner A, Holzer M, Sterz F, Schörkhuber W, Eisenburger P, Havel C, Kliegel A, Laggner AN. Hyperthermia after cardiac arrest is associated with an unfavorable neurologic outcome. *Arch Intern Med*. 2001;161: 2007–2012.
- 15. Wolf FM. *Meta-Analysis: Quantitative Methods for Research Synthesis.* Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications; 1986.
- 16. Phillips B, Ball C, Sackett D, Badenoch D, Straus S, Haynes B, Dawes M. Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine Levels of Evidence. 2001. Available at: www.cebm.net/levels_of_evidence.asp#levels. Accessed September 27, 2005.
- 17. Rosenthal R, Rosnow RL, Rubin DB. *Contrasts and Effect Sizes in Behavioral Research: A Correlational Approach*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 2000.
- 18. Becker BJ. Combining significance levels. In: Cooper HM, Hedges LV, eds. *The Handbook of Research Synthesis*. New York: Russell Sage; 1994.
- 19. Cohen J. *Statistical Power Analysis for Behavioral Sciences*, rev ed. New York: Academic Press; 1977.
- 20. Commichau C, Scarmeas N, Mayer SA. Risk factors for fever in the neurologic intensive care unit. *Neurology*. 2003;60:837– 841.
- 21. Kilpatrick MM, Lowry DW, Firlik AD, Yonas H, Marion DW. Hyperthermia in the neurosurgical intensive care unit. *Neurosurgery*. 2000;47: $850 - 856$
- 22. Suzuki S, Kelley RE, Dandapani BK, Reyes-Iglesias Y, Dietrich WD, Duncan RC. Acute leukocyte and temperature response in hypertensive intracerebral hemorrhage. *Stroke*. 1995;26:1020 –1023.
- 23. Roy MK, Ray A. Effect of body temperature on mortality of acute stroke. *J Assoc Physicians India*. 2004;52:959 –961.
- 24. Wang Y, Lim LL, Levi C, Heller R, Fisher J. Influence of admission body temperature on stroke mortality. *Stroke*. 2000;31:404 – 409.

Table I. References for Studies Used or Considered Potentially Appropriate

Studies Used in the Meta-Analyses (in order of appearance in Figure 2)

- 1. Szczudlik A, Turaj W, Slowik A, Strojny J. Hyperthermia is not an independent predictor of greater mortality in patients with primary intracerebral hemorrhage. *Med Sci Monit.* 2002;8:CR702–707.
- 2. Suzuki S, Kelley RE, Dandapani BK, Reyes-Iglesias Y, Dietrich WD, Duncan RC. Acute leukocyte and temperature response in hypertensive intracerebral hemorrhage. *Stroke*. 1995;26:1020 –1023.
- 3. Wijdicks EF, St Louis E. Clinical profiles predictive of outcome in pontine hemorrhage. *Neurology*. 1997;49:1342–1346.
- 4. Castillo J, Dávalos A, Marrugat J, Noya M. Timing for fever-related brain damage in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 1998;29:2455–2460.
- 5. Grau AJ, Buggle F, Schnitzler P, Spiel M, Lichy C, Hacke W. Fever and infection early after ischemic stroke. *J Neurol Sci*. 1999;171:115–120.
- 6. Rordorf G, Koroshetz W, Efird JT, Cramer SC. Predictors of mortality in stroke patients admitted to an intensive care unit. *Crit Care Med*. 2000;28:1301–1305.
- 7. Weimar C, Ziegler A, König IR, Diener HC. Predicting functional outcome and survival after acute ischemic stroke. *J Neurol*. 2002;249:888 –895.
- 8. Szczudlik A, Turaj W, Slowik A, Strojny J. Microalbuminuria and hyperthermia independently predict long-term mortality in acute ischemic stoke patients. *Acta Neurol Scand.* 2003;107:96 –101.
- 9. Hanchaiphiboolkul S. Body temperature and mortality in acute cerebral infarction. *J Med Assoc Thai*. 2005;88:26 –31.
- 10. Azzimondi G, Bassein L, Nonino F, Fiorani L, Vignatelli L, Re G, D'Alessandro R. Fever in acute stroke worsens prognosis. A prospective study. *Stroke*. 1995;26:2040 –2043.
- 11. Reith J, Jørgensen HS, Pedersen PM, Nakayama H, Raaschou HO, Jeppesen LL, Olsen TS. Body temperature in acute stroke: relation to stroke severity, infarct size, mortality and outcome. *Lancet*. 1996;347:422–425.
- 12. Roy MK, Ray A. Effect of body temperature on mortality of acute stroke. *J Assoc Physicians India.* 2004;52:959 –961.
- 13. Kammersgaard LP, Jørgensen HS, Rungby JA, Reith J, Nakayama H, Weber UJ, Houth J, Olsen TS. Admission body temperature predicts long-term mortality after acute stroke: the Copenhagen Stroke Study. *Stroke*. 2002;33:1759 –1762.
- 14. Wang Y, Lim LL, Levi C, Heller R, Fisher J. Influence of admission body temperature on stroke mortality. *Stroke*. 2000;31:404 –409.
- 15. Mayer SA, Kowalski RG, Presciutti M, Ostapkovich ND, McGann E, Fitzsimmons BF, Yavagal DR, Du YE, Naidech AM, Janjua NA, Claassen J, Kreiter KT, Parra A, Commichau C. Clinical trial of a novel surface cooling system for fever control in neuro critical care patients. *Crit Care Med*. 2004;32:2508-2515.
- 16. Diringer MN, Reaven NL, Funk SE, Uman GC. Elevated body temperature independently contributes to increased length of stay in neurologic intensive care unit patients. *Crit Care Med*. 2004;32:1489 –1495.
- 17. Qiu WS, Liu WG, Shen H, Wang WM, Zhang ZL, Zhang Y, Jiang SJ, Yang XF. Therapeutic effect of mild hypothermia on severe traumatic head injury. *Chin J Traumatology*. 2005;8:27–32.
- 18. Jiang J, Gao GY, Li WP, Yu MK, Zhu C. Early indicators of prognosis in 846 cases of severe traumatic brain injury. *J Neurotrauma*. 2002;19:869 –874.
- 19. Jeremitsky E, Omert L, Dunham CM, Protetch J, Rodriguez A. Harbingers of poor outcome the day after severe brain injury: hypothermia, hypoxia and hypoperfusion. *J Trauma*. 2003;54:312–319.
- 20. Geffroy A, Bronchard R, Merckx P, Seince PF, Faillot T, Albaladejo P, Marty J. Severe traumatic head injury in adults: which patients are at risk of early hyperthermia? *Intensive Care Med*. 2004;30:785–790.
- 21. Andrews PJ, Sleeman DH, Statham PF, McQuatt A, Corruble V, Jones PA, Howells TP, Macmillan CS. Predicting recovery in patients suffering from traumatic brain injury by using admission variables and physiological data: a comparison between decision tree analysis and logistic regression. *J Neurosurg.* 2002;97:326 –336.
- 22. Soukup J, Zauner A, Doppenberg EM, Menzel M, Gilman C, Young HF, Bullock R. The importance of brain temperature in patients after severe head injury: relationship to intracranial pressure, cerebral perfusion pressure, cerebral blood flow, and outcome. *J Neurotrauma*. 2002;19:559 –571.
- 23. Yamamoto T, Mori K, Maeda M. Assessment of prognostic factors in severe traumatic brain injury patients treated by mild therapeutic cerebral hypothermia therapy. *Neurol Res*. 2002;24:789 –795.
- 24. Castillo J, Dávalos A, Noya M. Progression of ischaemic stroke and excitotoxic aminoacids. *Lancet*. 1997;349:79 –83.
- 25. Georgilis K, Plomaritoglou A, Dafni U, Bassiakos Y, Vemmos K. Aetiology of fever in patients with acute stroke. *J Intensive Med*. 1999;246:203–209.
- 26. Jørgensen HS, Reith J, Nakayama H, Kammersgaard LP, Raaschou HO, Olsen TS. What determines good recovery in patients with the most severe strokes? *Stroke*. 1999;30:2008 –2012.
- 27. Oliveira-Filho J, Ezzeddine MA, Segal AZ, Buananno FS, Chang Y, Ogilvy CS, Rordorf G, Schwamm LH, Koroshetz WJ, McDonald CT. Fever in subarachnoid hemorrhage. Relationship to vasospasm and outcome. *Neurology*. 2001;56:1299 –1304.
- 28. Castellanos M, Leira R, Tejada J, Gil-Peralta A, Dávalos A, Castillo J. Predictors of good outcome in medium to large spontaneous supratentorial intracerebral haemorrhages. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2005;76:691–695.
- 29. Fukuda H, Kitani M, Takahashi K. Body temperature correlates with functional outcome and the lesion size of cerebral infarction. *Acta Neurol Scand*. 1999;100:385–390.
- 30. Boysen G, Christensen H. Stroke severity determines body temperature in acute stroke. *Stroke*. 2001;32:413–417.
- 31. Schwarz S, Häfner K, Aschoff A, Schwab S. Incidence and prognostic significance of fever following intracerebral hemorrhage. Neurology. 2000; 54:354 –361.
- 32. Leira R, Dávalos A, Silva Y, Gil-Peralta A, Tejada J, Garcia M, Castillo J. Early neurologic deterioration in intracerebral hemorrhage: predictors and associated factors. *Neurology*. 2004;63:461–467.

COUNTRY FOR

Table I. Continued

Studies Used in the Meta-Analyses (in order of appearance in Figure 2)

- 33. Dávalos A, Castillo J, Fumar J, Noya M. Body temperature and fibrinogen and related to early neurological deterioration in acute ischemic stroke. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 1997;7:64 –69.
- 34. Commichau C, Scarmeas N, Mayer SA. Risk factors for fever in the neurologic intensive care unit. *Neurology*. 2003;60:837–841.
- 35. Kilpatrick MM, Lowry DW, Firlik AD, Yonas H, Marion DW. Hyperthermia in the neurosurgical intensive care unit. *Neurosurgery*. 2000;47:850 –856.
- 36. Stocchetti N, Rossi S, Zanier ER, Colombo A, Beretta L, Citerio G. Pyrexia in head-injured patients admitted to intensive care. *Intensive Care Med.* 2002;28:1555–1562.
- 37. Natale J, Joseph JG, Helfaer MA, Shaffner DH. Early hyperthermia after traumatic brain injury in children: risk factors, influence of length of stay, and effect on short-term neurologic status. *Crit Care Med*. 2000;28:2608 –2615.
- 38. Castillo J, Dávalos A, Noya M. Aggravation of acute ischemic stroke by hyperthermia is related to an excitotoxic mechanism. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 1999;9:22–27.
- 39 Heindl UT, Laub MC. Outcome of persistent vegetative state following hypoxic or traumatic brain injury in children and adolescents. *Neuropediatrics*. 1996;27:94 –100.

Studies Eliminated Because None of the Investigated Outcome Measures Were Evaluated in at Least 2 Other Studies (our minimum requirement)

- 40. Barber M, Wright F, Stott DJ, Langhorne P. Predictors of early neurological deterioration after ischaemic stroke: a case– control study. *Gerontology*. 2004;50:102–109.
- 41. Takagi K, Tsuchiya Y, Okinaga K, Hirata M, Nakagomi T, Tamura A. Natural hypothermia immediately after transient global cerebral ischemia induced by spontaneous subarachnoid hemorrhage. *J Neurosurg*. 2003;98:50 –56.
- 42. Kakuda W, Naritomi H, Shimizu T, Kinugawa H, Sawada T. Body temperature increases following embolic stroke correlating with the size of infarction. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*. 1997;17:S43.
- 43. Christensen H, Boysen G, Johannesen HH, Christensen E, Bendtzen K. Deteriorating ischaemic stroke: cytokines, soluble cytokine receptors, ferritin, systemic blood pressure, body temperature, blood glucose, diabetes, stroke severity, and CT infarction-volume as predictors of deteriorating ischaemic stroke. *J Neurol Sci.* 2002;201:1–7.
- 44. Thomson HJ, Pinto-Martin J, Bullock MR. Neurogenic fever after traumatic brain injury. An epidemiological study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2003;74:614 –619.

Study Withdrawn Because It Lacked Sufficient Data to Relate a Single Source of Body Temperature to Outcome

45. Otawara Y, Ogasawara K, Kubo Y, Tomitsuka N, Ogawa A, Suzuki M. Brain and systemic temperature in patients with severe subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Surg Neurol.* 2003;60:159 –164.

Table II. Covariates, Dependent Variables, and Effect Sizes of Hypotheses Evaluated

(*Continued*)

*Study numbers refer to Supplemental Appendix I; the no. and letter correspond to Figure 2.

†Nature of the body temperature variable: [a] dichotomized; [b] 3 groups; [c] 4 groups; [d] continuous variable; [e] duration, continuous variable; [f] duration, 3 groups; [q] continuous, grouped by hours from stroke onset to admission; [h] fever burden, continuous.

‡More specifically: body mass index, smoking, prior myocardial infarction, prior ischemic heart disease, prior cardiac dysrhythmia, prior peripheral arterial disease, hypertension, prior aspirin, admission neurological impairments (level of consciousness, questions, commands, best gaze, visual, facial palsy, motor left leg, motor right leg, limb ataxia, sensory, best language, dysarthria, extinction, and inattention), atrial fibrillation at admission, lowering of elevated blood glucose, location of infarct (9 specific locations), TOAST classification, intermittent atrial fibrillation, other medical complications.

§Of 127 total patients, 82 patients with TBI were evaluated; 45 hypoxic brain injuries, mostly in very young children, were excluded as noncomparable.

-Variables analyzed on admission: age, sex, time of onset, time delay to study inclusion, arterial hypertension, alcohol use, liver disease, renal failure, tobacco use, diabetes mellitus, antiplatelet drug use, cytostatic drug use, illicit drug use, hematologic disease, TIA/cerebral infarction, cognitive deterioration, preceding infection within 15 days, inflammatory disease within 30 days, coma, vomiting, seizures, body temperature, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, headache, headache location, headache characteristics, CSS, ICH location, ventricular bleeding, mass effect, perihematoma hypodensity, ICH volume, total volume, edema volume, leukoacidosis, cerebral atrophy, lacunar infarction, old lesion on CT scan, hematocrit, hemoglobin level, leukocyte count, neutrophil count, fibrinogen level, prothrombin time, coagulation time, C-reactive protein, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, serum glucose levels, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, serum glutamic– oxaloacetic transaminase, serum glutamic–pyruvic transamylase, glutamyl transpeptidase, alkaline phosphatase level, CPK level, intracranial pressure, use of osmotic agents. Variables analyzed at 48 hours: body temperature, systolic blood pressure, highest and lowest levels, diastolic blood pressure, highest and lowest levels, headache, headache location, headache characteristics, dysphagia, cardiac arrhythmia, cardiac arrest, arterial hypotension, myocardial infarction, cardiac failure, thrombophlebitis, pulmonary embolism, pneumonia, bronchial secretions, gastric ulceration, gastrointestinal bleed, allergic reactions, urinary tract infection, sepsis, decubitus ulcer, SIADH, hyperglycemia, seizures, cerebral infarction, CSS, ventricular bleeding, mass effect, perihematoma hypodensity, ICH volume, total volume, edema volume, intracranial hypertension, use of osmotic agents.

¶"M" designates multivariate; "U" designates univariate, pertaining to the statistic from which the effect size was calculated. Among studies that presented both multivariate and univariate results, we selected multivariate results whenever sufficient statistics were presented and the dependent variable was appropriate.

**Studies that provided sufficient detail in GOS results to permit separate assessment of mortality were included in the mortality analysis even if mortality was not overtly discussed in the original study. Studies using mRS were similarly reviewed, but none met the criterion.

††*r* (es) is the correlational effect size.

‡‡*r* (cn) is the counter-null effect size, which forms the upper bound of an interval around *r*(es), for which the fixed lower bound is defined as zero.

DV indicates dependent variable; BI, Barthel Index; TOAST, Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; CSS, Canadian Stroke Scale; TBI, traumatic brain injury; GCS, Glasgow Coma Score; ISS, International Stroke Society; APACHE, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; ICU, intensive care unit; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage; LOS, length of stay; mRS, modified Rankin Scale; WBC, white blood cell; SSS, Scandinavian Stroke Scale; VSP, vasospasm.